## This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

## BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

## IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/674,761	09/30/2003	Bahador Ghahramani	20121C	20121C 6487		
28133 759	90 06/04/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER		
RICHARD L. MARSH			MULLEN, T	MULLEN, THOMAS J		
4116 E. LATOK SPRINGFIELD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2632			
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/674,76	10/674,761		GHAHRAMANI, BAHADOR	
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Thomas J.	Mullen, Jr.	2632		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a			orrespondence ac	idress	
A SH THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 2.1.136(a). In no eve reply within the statu iod will apply and will atute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)□ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) \( \subseteq T \) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is no wance except t	for formal matters, pro		e merits is	
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from cor				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2003  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the compact that or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a) at the drawing(s) b rection is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).	
<b>Priority</b>	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur- See the attached detailed Office action for a line.	ents have beer ents have beer priority docume reau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this Nationa	l Stage	
2) Notice 3) Information	nt(s)  Dee of References Cited (PTO-892)  Dee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  The mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/  Deer No(s)/Mail Date 09302003.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/674,761

Art Unit: 2632

1. The patent number associated with parent application 10/234,259 (6664898) should be inserted on p. 1 of the specification in the appropriate place.

- 2. This application has been filed with claims numbered 20-39; in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126, these claims have been <u>renumbered 1-20</u>, respectively, for purposes of examination (the independent claims are now numbered 1, 16 and 20).
- 3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "separably deployable neutralizing means" (claims 1, 16 and 20), the "line of sight transmitter" (claim 18) and the "Geo-Positional Satellite" (claim 19) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Regarding the "neutralizing means", the paragraph overlapping pp. 35-36 of applicant's specification teaches that when hazards are detected, "neutralizing agents may then be applied", and refers to the Yang publication (incorporated by reference) as "detail(ing) effective countermeasures". However, neither the "neutralizing means" <u>per se</u>, nor a means to deploy such neutralizing means, are depicted in any manner in the drawings.

Regarding the other elements, the drawings (see Fig. 6) do not show any specific means by which the remote station (265) communicates with the marker (10,10A), such as the recited "line of sight transmitter" or "Geo-Positional Satellite".

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,761

Art Unit: 2632

5. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In the preamble of claims 1, 16 and 20, "(a) system of...notifying...an environmental hazard" is vaguely worded; perhaps "providing notification of" should replace "notifying".

In claims 1, 16 and 20, "separably deployable neutralizing means" (e.g., line 4 of claim 1) is indefinite as to what is being "neutralized", i.e. the function(s) associated with this recited "means" cannot be determined.

In claims 1, 16 and 20, "a means for controlling" (e.g., line 6 of claim 1) is indefinite as to what is being "controlled", i.e. the function(s) associated with this recited "means" cannot be determined.

In claims 1, 16 and 20, "a means for signaling" (e.g., line 6 of claim 1) is indefinite as to what is being "signaled", i.e. it is unclear from the context of these claims whether the "signaling" has anything to do with the communicating of "data" (claim 1), "at least one data stream" (claim 16) or "information" (claim 20), or whether the "signaling" serves some other purpose with respect to the field deployable marker; thus, the function(s) associated with this recited "means" cannot be determined.

In claim 2, lines 1-2, "a means for activating" is indefinite as to what is being "activated", i.e. the function(s) associated with this recited "means" cannot be determined.

In claim 3, it is unclear what is meant by an "onboard state" of the means for signaling.

In claim 4, it is unclear how or in what manner the phrase at the end of the claim, "wherein personnel may be present", further modifies the scope of the claim; for example, it is unclear if this phrase defines the "environmental condition" as being in a location where the personnel are normally (or may be) present, or has some other intended meaning.

In claims 5-6, lines 2-3 in each claim, "said position where said field marker has been deployed" lacks clear antecedent basis.

In claims 5-6, lines 2-3 in each claim, "an environmental condition consistent with...(a) position where said field marker has been deployed" is unclear as to what is meant by a "condition" being "consistent with" a "position" of an element per se; in particular, it is unclear how this recitation limits the type of "environmental condition" intended.

Art Unit: 2632

In claims 5-6, line 3 in each claim, "said means for activating changing said initial state" is indefinite as to what this "initial state" is changed to.

At the end of claim 6, "said means for activating changing said initial state <u>upon</u> <u>detecting</u> an elevated level of at least one hazard <u>from</u> said means for detecting..." is indefinite as to which element (the activating means or the detecting means), in fact, "detects" the elevated hazard level; put another way, "detecting...at least one hazard <u>from</u> said means for detecting" is vaguely worded as to the role of the "means for detecting".

In claims 11 and 13, line 3 in each claim, "said identification <u>code</u>" lacks clear antecedent basis (note "coded identification <u>number</u>" on line 2 in each claim).

At the end of claim 14, "the onboard state of said means for signaling" lacks clear antecedent basis (note the dependency of the claim), and it is unclear what is meant by an "onboard state" of the means for signaling (as mentioned above with respect to claim 3).

- 6. Claims 1-20 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The art cited by applicant has been considered. Barditch (US 4704942) and Majerus et al (US 5936184) are cited to further show the state of the art.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas J. Mullen, Jr. whose telephone number is 703-305-4382. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 4 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Wu, can be reached on (703) 308-6730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

TJM

Thomas J. Mullen, Jr. Primary Examiner